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## Abstract

Compound-specific carbon isotope analysis of amino acids by gas chromatography/ combustion/isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC/C/IRMS) has been employed as a powerful tool in various fields such as biology, physiology, ecology, archaeology, and cosmology studies. However, since amino acids have low volatility and high polarity, they must be derivatized for GC elution. Problems related to derivatization (i.e., significant uncertainty resulting from the incorporation of a large number of carbons, isotopic fractionation during derivatization, and incorporation of heteroatoms in the derivative groups) remain matters of concern. In the present study, we report an improved method, which is a combination of one-step ethyl ester derivatization and GC/C/IRMS analysis employing a GC capillary column coated with a polar stationary phase. With this methods, a high analyte-to-derivative carbon ratio is obtained, and fractionation of the carbon isotopes during derivatization and incorporation of heteroatoms in the derivatives can be avoided. Using this method, the carbon isotopic composition of alanine, valine, leucine, proline, and aspartic acid can be determined with a standard deviation (1 $\sigma$ ) of $0.2-0.7 \%$.
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## INTRODUCTION

Stable carbon isotopic composition $\left(\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of amino acids has been widely employed as a powerful tool in various studies, including biological, physiological, ecological, archaeological, and cosmological studies for tracing the source and fate of amino acids and for understanding the process of formation and degradation of amino acids (Macko et al 1987; Engel et al. 1990; Johnson et al. 1993; Corr et al. 2005; O’Brien et al. 2005; Petzke et al. 2005; McCullagh et al. 2006; Scott et al. 2006; O’Donnel et al. 2007; Uhle et al. 2007) In these studies, compound-specific stable isotope analysis (CSIA) by gas chromatography/ combustion/isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC/C/IRMS) (Hayes et al., 1990; Sessions, 2006) has been frequently adopted for the isotope analysis of amino acids. This is because GC/C/IRMS has high selectivity and sensitivity, which in turn is because of the excellent chromatographic resolution of each amino acid achieved with this method.
However, because of the low volatility and high polarity of amino acids, derivatization is necessary for GC elution. Various derivatives, including acetyl/methyl ( $\mathrm{Ac} / \mathrm{Me}$ ) (Corr et al., 2007a, 2007b), acetyl/n-propyl (Ac/nPr) (Merritt and Hayes 1994), ethoxycarbonyl/ethyl (EtOC/Et) (Montigon et al. 2001), heptafluorobutyl/isopropyl (HFB/iPr) (Balagopal et al. 1996), pentafluoropropyl/isopropyl (PFP/iPr) (Veuger et al. 2005), pivaloyl/isopropyl (Pv/ Pr) (Metges and Daenzer 2000), tert-butyl dimethyl silyl ( $t$-BDMS) (Shinebarger et al 2002; Hofmann et al., 2003), and trifluoroacetyl/isopropyl (TFA/iPr) (Silfer et al., 1991) esters, are used for the carbon isotope analysis of amino acids by GC/C/IRMS. Unfortunately because of these derivatizations, several significant problems are encountered during isotope analysis, and hence, the accuracy and precision of the obtained results are always low (Rieley 1994; Docherty et al. 2001; Shinebarger et al., 2002).
First, the incorporation of a large number of carbons in the derivative groups brings about a significant uncertainty in the obtained isotopic composition of the desired amino acids. Generally, the isotopic composition of amino acids $\left(\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{44}\right)$ is determined by using the isotope mass balance calculation from the isotopic composition of the derivatized amino acids $\left(\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\text {DAA }}\right)$ and carbons incorporated during the derivatization ( $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{D}$ ), as shown in equation (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{A A}=\left(n_{D A A} \times \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{D A A}-n_{D} \times \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{D}\right) / n_{A A}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the number of carbon atoms, and the subscripts $A A, D$, and $D A A$ indicate amino acids, carbon atoms incorporated during derivatization, and the derivatized amino acids, respectively. In this correction, the error $(\sigma)$ in the $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{D A A}$ and $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{D}$ values propagates into that in the $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{A A}$ values, as shown in equation (2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{A A}{ }^{2}=\sigma_{D A A}{ }^{2} \times\left(n_{D A A} / n_{A A}\right)^{2}+\sigma_{D}{ }^{2} \times\left(n_{D} / n_{A A}\right)^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the potential uncertainty in the estimated isotopic composition of amino acids $\left(\sigma_{A A}\right)$ increases with a decrease in the analyte-to-derivative carbon ratio. For example,


Fig. 1. Potential uncertainty in carbon isotopic compositions of amino acids with respect to various ypes of derivatizations. The uncertainty is calculated by using eq (2) assuming a stand deviation of $0.3 \%$ for both the isotopic composition of the incorporated carbon atoms during derivatization and the derivatized amino acids.

TFA $/ \mathrm{iPr}$ and $t \mathrm{BDMS}$ derivatizations involve the addition of a minimum of five and twelve derivative carbon atoms, respectively. When the errors in the $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{D A A}$ and $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{D}$ values are both $0.3 \%$, the potential uncertainty in the $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{A A}$ values become $0.5 \%$ for phenylalanine, $0.9 \%$ for glutamic acids, and $1.9 \%$ for glycine during TFA/iPr derivatization, and become $0.8 \%$ for phenylalanine, $1.8 \%$ for glutamic acids, and $2.8 \%$ for glycine during $t \mathrm{BDMS}$ derivatization (Fig. 1).
Second, significant fractionation of carbon isotopes occurs during acylation in $\mathrm{Ac} / \mathrm{Me}$, $\mathrm{Ac} / n \mathrm{Pr}, \mathrm{EtOC} / \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{HFB}, \mathrm{PFP} / \mathrm{iPr}, \mathrm{Pv} / \mathrm{iPr}$, and TFA/iPr derivatizations (Rieley 1994). These derivatizations involve esterification of the carboxylic acid group with acidified alcohol and acylation of the amine group with an acid anhydrate or acid halide. As shown in Fig. 2, the esterification and acylation result in the formation of carbon-oxygen and carbon-nitrogen bonds, respectively; thus, isotope fractionation of the carbonyl carbon may occur in both hese reactions. However, since esterification usually proceeds in the presence of excess alcohol and the carbonyl carbon reacts quantitatively, fractionation of carbon isotopes does not occur in this step. In contrast, since acylation involves the use of excess acid and the carbonyl carbon does not react quantitatively, fractionation of carbon isotopes occurs in the derivatization reagent but not in the amino acids of interest. The degree of fractionation depends on the kinetic isotope effect in the reaction and the molar ratio between the amino acid and the derivatization reagent. Silfer et al. (1991) observed such isotopic fractionations
(a) First step (esterification)


and subsequent dehydrochlorination
and subsequent dehydrochlorination
Fig. 2. Reaction mechanism of esterification and acylation in amino acid derivatization. Asterisk indicates atoms where significant isotopic fractionation occurs.
during TFA acylation and reported that the resulting error in the estimated carbon isotopic composition of the derivatives ranges -3.3 to $-1.0 \%$ (the error depends on the type of amino acid). Metges and Daenzer (2000) also observed isotopic fractionations during Pv acylation and reported that the resulting errors in the estimated carbon isotopic composition are in the range -4.0 to $+2.1 \%$.
Third, several GC/C/IRMS components may be contaminated by heteroatoms such as silicon $(\mathrm{Si})$ and fluorine ( F ) present in the derivative groups. For example, the combustion of Si-containing derivatives (e.g., $t$-BDMS) may result in the formation of Si deposits and silicon carbide at the combustion interface used in GC/C/IRMS (Prévost et al. 2001; Shinebarger et al. 2002). Similarly the combustion of F-containing derivatives (e.g., $\mathrm{HFB} / \mathrm{iPr}, \mathrm{PFP} / \mathrm{iPr}$, and TFA/iPr) may result in the formation of stable metal halides such as $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ and $\mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ at the combustion interface (Hofmann et al., 2003; Metges and Petzke, 1999; Meier-Augenstein, 2004). The formation of these deposits reduces the combustion efficiency and accuracy of the determined isotopic compositions (Chikaraishi et al., 2010).
Although various derivatizations have been utilized for the carbon isotope analysis of amino acids by GC/C/IRMS in previous studies, the problems occurring during derivatization remain issues of concern. Recently, Corr et al. (2007a, 2007b) reported a method based on the combination of $\mathrm{Ac} / \mathrm{Me}$ derivatization and the correction for the kinetic isotope effect during the derivatization for minimizing the error in the obtained isotopic composition of amino acids. They succeeded in reducing errors in the carbon isotope analysis of amino acids to $0.6 \%$ for phenylalanine, leucine, and isoleucine, and $1.1 \%$ for serine and glycine. In the present study, we report an alternative method for the
carbon isotope analysis of amino acids; this method is a combination of one-step ethyl ester derivatization and GC/C/IRMS analysis employing a GC capillary column coated with a polar stationary phase.

## Experimental procedures

## Reagents and standards

All the standards, reagents, and solvents were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd. Ten protein L-amino acid standards, namely, alanine, aspartic acid, glutamic acid, glycine, leucine, phenylalanine, proline, serine, tyrosine, and valine, were used in this study. Ethanol, pivaloyl chloride, isopropanol, and thionyl chloride were used as the derivatization reagents. All the solvents were of dioxin analysis grade.
The carbon isotopic composition of the amino acid standards was determined by the conventional technique using Thermo Fisher Scientific Flash EA (1112EA) coupled to a Delta ${ }^{\text {plus }}$ XP IRMS via a ConFlo III interface (Ohkouchi et al., 2005; Ogawa et al., 2010). The isotopic composition was expressed by the conventional $\delta$ notation against an international standard PeeDee Belemnite (PDB). The analytical error (1 $\sigma$ ) in the isotopic measurement was less than $0.3 \%$ ( $\sim 0.1 \%$ on an average).
Five admixtures of amino acid standards (A to E) were prepared in the present study (Table 1). Standard B was prepared as the decinormal solution of standard A, and standard C was adjusted abundance to similar in total but different in the amino acid compositions from the standard A. Admixtures A, B, and C were used for Pv/iPr derivatization, and D and E were used for ethyl ester derivatization.

## Derivatization procedure

Pivaloyl/isopropyl (Pv/iPr) esters
Pv/iPr esters of the amino acids were prepared according to the procedure reported by Chikaraishi et al. (2007). In brief, standards A-C were esterified using 1.0 mL of isopropanol/thionyl chloride $(4 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After the esterification, the residual reagents were evaporated under a gentle stream of nitrogen. Pivaloylation was then performed at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h using 1.0 mL of pivaloyl chloride/dichloromethane ( $1 / 1$, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). After acylation, the residual reagents were again evaporated under a gentle stream of nitrogen. The amino acid derivatives thus obtained were dissolved in 100 mL of dichloromethane and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until further analysis.
Ethyl esters
Ethyl esters of the amino acids were prepared according to the improved procedure reported by Chikaraishi et al. (2007). Standards D and E were esterified at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h using 1.0 mL of ethanol/thionyl chloride ( $4 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), and the obtained ester derivatives were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until further analysis. Before the isotope analysis, the residual reagent were evaporated under a gentle stream of nitrogen, and the ethyl esters of the amino acids

Table 1 Abundance (mg) of each amiono acid in five admixtures of standards used in the present study

| Amino acid | STD-A | STD-B | STD-C | STD-D | STD-E |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alanine | 2.3 | 0.2 | 2.3 | - | 2.8 |
| Aspartic acid | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 5.4 |
| Glycine | 3.2 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 4.0 | - |
| Glutamic acid | 2.4 | 0.2 | 6.9 | 3.0 | - |
| Leucine | 2.4 | 0.2 | 2.4 | - | 4.2 |
| Phenylaanine | 2.8 | 0.3 | 2.8 | - | - |
| Proline | - | - | - | - | 2.7 |
| Serine | 3.6 | 0.4 | 2.6 | - | - |
| Tyrosine | 3.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | - | - |
| Valine | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | - | 2.5 |

were redissolved in 100 mL of ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate solution was stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until injection into the GC/C/IRMS system.
The esterified amino acids are stable in acidic solvents but not in neutral solvents (including ethyl acetate), in which they form diketopiperazine condensates. Therefore, in the present study, the acidic reagent (ethanol/thionyl chloride) was evaporated just before the isotope analysis, and the ethyl acetate solution was carefully stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until injection for $\mathrm{GC} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{IRMS}$. During this process, diketopiperazine condensates were not detected in the ethyl acetate solution, and ethyl esters of the amino acids were satisfactorily stable during the isotope analysis.

## Gas chromatography/combustion/isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC/C/IRMS)

Carbon isotope analysis of the amino acid derivatives was carried out by GC/C/IRMS using an Agilent Technologies 6890N GC coupled to a Thermo Fisher Scientific Delta ${ }^{\text {plus }}$ XP IRMS via GC-C/TC III interface (Chikaraishi et al., 2008, 2010). Combustion was performed in a microvolume ceramic tube with $\mathrm{CuO}, \mathrm{NiO}$, and Pt wires at $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and reduction was performed in a microvolume ceramic tube with reduced Cu wires at $550^{\circ}$ C. A countercurrent dryer (Permeable membrane, Nafion ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) was installed between the reduction furnaces and the IRMS instrument to eliminate the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ generated during the combustion. $1.0 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the amino acid derivatives was injected by using a Gerstel programmable temperature vaporization (PTV) injector using the following temperature program: 0.2 min at the initial temperature $\left(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for $\mathrm{Pv} / \mathrm{iPr}$ esters and $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for ethyl esters), heating from the initial temperature to $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the rate of $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, isothermal hold at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 $\min$, heating to $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the rate of $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, and isothermal hold at $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The carrier gas (He) flow rate in the GC capillary column was controlled at $1.4 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ in the constant flow mode. The amino acid derivatives were analyzed on the following two GC capillary columns and oven temperature programs:

1) HP-Ultra-2 column: $5 \%$ phenyl $95 \%$ methyl polysiloxane (low polarity) stationary phases; 25 m length $\times 0.32 \mathrm{~mm}$ i.d. $\times 0.52 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ film thickness, Agilent Technologies Oven temperature program: 4 min at the initial temperature $\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, heating from $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, and isothermal hold at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min (for ethy esters) or 2 min at the initial temperature $\left(40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, heating from $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, heating to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the rate of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}$, and isothermal hold at $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min (for $\mathrm{Pv} / \mathrm{iPr}$ esters)
2) HP-INNOWAX column: polyethylene glycol (high polarity) stationary phase, 30 m length $\times 0.32 \mathrm{~mm}$ i.d. $\times 0.50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ film thickness; Agilent Technologies. Oven temperature program: 4 min at the initial temperature $\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, heating from $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, and isothermal hold at $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min .

## Results and discussion

## Isotopic fractionation in carbon isotopes in the Pv/iPr derivatives

To evaluate whether or not the fractionation in the carbon isotopes during acylation is significant, we first determined the carbon isotopic composition of the $\mathrm{Pv} / \mathrm{Pr}$ derivatives standards A, B, and C, which have different abundances or amino acids compositions, under identical derivatization conditions. As described above, the degree of fractionation depends on the kinetic isotope effect in the reaction and the molar ratio between the amino acid and the derivatization reagent (Rieley 1994). If isotopic fractionation is insignificant, the determined isotopic composition of the $\mathrm{Pv} / \mathrm{iPr}$ derivatives should be the same for standards A, B, and C. However, as shown in Fig. 3, the determined isotopic composition of the $\mathrm{Pv} / \mathrm{iPr}$ derivatives differs considerably among the standards, and the magnitude of the difference depends on the type of amino acid. For example, in the case of glutamic acid, a relatively large difference of $1.2-1.5 \%$ is observed between the isotopic compositions of the standards, whereas a very small difference is observed for serine. These results are consistent with those reported in the previous studies, i.e., significant fractionation occurs in the carbon isotopes during acylation (Silfer et al. 1991; Metges and Daenzer 2000; Docherty et al. 2001; Corr et al. 2007a, 2007b). Further, our results clearly indicate that control or correction of the fractionation process would be difficult because the degree of fractionation depends on the kinetic isotope effect, which is specific to a given amino acid, the molar ratio between the amino acid and the derivatization reagent, and the amino acid composition.

## Isotope analysis by ethyl ester derivatization

The first step in the derivatization process, i.e., esterification, helps avoid the problems involved in traditional derivatization methods. This is because a high analyte-to-derivative carbon ratio can be achieved, and fractionation of carbon isotopes does not occur during derivatization; further, heteroatoms are not incorporated in the derivatives. In fact, the formation of ethyl ester derivatives of amino acids involves only the addition of two derivative carbons in the case of neutral amino acids (e.g., glycine and phenylalanine) and the addition of four derivative carbons in the case of acidic amino acids (e.g., aspartic acid) Therefore, the resulting error in the obtained isotopic composition of amino acids is expected


Fig. 3. Molecular composition of amino acids in the standards $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}$ and the difference in the carbon isotopic composition of amino acids as $\mathrm{Pv} / \mathrm{Pr}$ derivatives, determined by $\mathrm{GC} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{IRMS}$, between these standards.
to be small, i.e., in the range of $0.4 \%$ (for phenylalanine) to $0.7 \%$ (for glycine and aspartic acid) (Fig. 1). The disadvantage of this method is poor resolution of the peak due to each amino acid in the GC chromatogram; this is because of the high polarity and low volatility of the ester derivatives. As shown in Fig. 4, a significantly poor resolution of the peaks due to the ethyl esters of the amino acids is observed in the GC/C/IRMS chromatogram when an HP-Ultra-2 GC capillary column (a low-polarity column generally used for amino acid isotope analysis in previous studies) is employed. The isotopic composition of the ethyl esters cannot be determined using such low-resolution chromatograms.
However, this disadvantage can be overcome by employing a GC capillary column coated with a polar stationary phase such as methyl polysiloxane containing a cyanopropyl group (e.g., DB-23, Agilent Technologies) or polyethylene glycol (e.g., HP-INNOWAX and FFAP, Agilent Technologies). In fact, the chromatographic resolution of the ethyl esters of the amino acids is dramatically improved when HP-INNOWAX (Fig. 4) is used, and therefore the carbon isotopic composition of the amino acids can be accurately determined with standard deviation (1 $\sigma$ ) of $0.2-0.7 \%$ (Table. 2). This analytical error is the lowest reported value for the carbon isotope analysis of amino acids.
In the present study, we demonstrate the isotope analysis of only five amino acids (alanine, valine, leucine, proline, and aspartic acid) using a polar column (HP-INNOWAX). We have not discussed which type of GC column is most suited for the isotope analysis. Because of the low volatility of the ethyl esters of the amino acids, a GC column that can be operated
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(b) HP-INNOWAX (high-polar column)


Fig. 4. $m / z 44$ chromatograms on GC/C/IRMS analysis for ethyl ester derivatives of amino acids (a) standard D on the HP-Ultra-2 and (b) standard E on the HP-INNOWAX GC capillary columns.

Table 2 Comparison of the carbon isotopic composition of amino acids ( $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$, vs PDB) determined by EA/IRMS and GC/C/IRMS

| Amino acid | EA/IRMS |  | GC/C/IRMS ( $\mathrm{N}=3$ )* |  |  |  | $\Delta_{\text {GCFEA }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | $1 \sigma$ | $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\text {AAET }}$ | ${ }^{1 \sigma_{\text {AAET }}}$ | $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\text {A }}$ | $1 \sigma_{\text {AA }}$ |  |
| Alanine | -21.9 | 0.1 | -26.5 | 0.3 | -22.0 | 0.5 | -0.1 |
| Aspartic acid | -21.7 | 0.0 | -26.3 | 0.3 | -21.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Leucine | -13.6 | 0.1 | - 19.3 | 0.1 | -13.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Proline | $-15.9$ | $0.3$ | $-21.0$ | 0.2 | $-16.0$ | 0.3 | $-0.1$ |
| Valine | $-23.6$ | 0.1 | -26.3 | 0.4 | -23.5 | 0.6 | $0.1$ |

at high temperatures $\left(>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ is necessary for the isotope analysis of other amino acid uch as glutamic acid and phenylalanine. In the case of HP-INNOWAX, elution of aspartic acid occurs at the maximum column temperature $\left(260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)($ Fig. 4 b$)$, implying that at this temperature, glutamic acid may also be eluted from this column, but phenylalanine, lysine and tyrosine are not. Moderately polar columns such as $\mathrm{DB}-17 \mathrm{~ms}$ (maximum temperature
$320^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Agilent Technologies) and the recently developed, highly inactive GC column HP5 ultra inert (maximum temperature: $325^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Agilent Technologies) could be suitable for the carbon isotope analysis. In addition to the selection of a suitable GC column, further optimization of the preparation (e.g., purification and recovery) of amino acid ethyl esters and the instrumental parameters (e.g., temperature of the reaction furnace, carrier gas flow rate, sample amount) related to GC/C/IRMS is necessary before our method can be extensively established as a routine method for the carbon isotope analysis for protein and nonprotein amino acids.
Nevertheless, we conclude that a combination of one-step ethyl ester derivatization of amino acids and GC/C/IRMS analysis using a GC capillary column with a polar stationary phase is well suited for the isotope analysis of amino acids. With further optimization, this method will enable the precise determination of the carbon isotopic composition of amino acids in natural samples.

## Conclusions

In the present study, we report a new method based on the combination of one-step ethyl ester derivatization and GC/C/IRMS analysis using a GC capillary column with a polar stationary phase. With this method, a high analyte-to-derivative carbon ratio can be achieved, fractionation of carbon isotopes during derivatization and incorporation of heteroatoms in the derivatives can be avoided. We demonstrate that by our method, the carbon isotopic composition of alanine, valine, leucine, proline, and aspartic acid can be accurately determined with a standard deviation (1 $\sigma$ ) of $0.2-0.7 \%$, which is the lowest analytical error observed till date. The present method is important for the carbon isotope analysis of amino acids, although further optimization of the method is required before using it for the determination of carbon isotopic composition of amino acids in natural samples.
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